



United States P. Lent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/112,777	07/10/1998	KIA SILVERBROOK	ART24-US	7299
75	590 08/09/2004		EXAM	INER
KIA SILVERBROOK			GOOD JOHNSON, MOTILEWA	
SILVERBROO 393 DARLING	K RESEARCH PTY LTI)	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
BALMAIN NS	=		2672	27
AUSTRALIA			DATE MAILED: 08/00/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)		
. Advisory Action	09/112,777	SILVERBROOK ET	AL.
· ·	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Motilewa A. Good-Johnson	2672	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti IE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the first (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	f extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The apportion of the fee. The apportion of the final of the fee.	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 7</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:			A.B.wi
		JEFFEN PRIMARY EX	407 41. 41 (

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment changes the scope of the claim 1 by introducing the limitation of locating high spatial variance features by the sholding and skeletonising and then the additional method steps of discarding, fitting and stroking for processing images.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Ogniewicz, discloses pruning a image with anchor points and dstance, in which a potential residual is obtained by attributine a edge with an anchor distance, which Examiner intreprets as a spatial variance, and a user defined threshold to produce a skeleton image, page 747. Litwinowics discloses that brush strokes are renderend with associated centers, raidus, length and orientation, which Examiner intreprets as inclusive of curve attributes..